


Estimated Band Scores		
Band Descriptors	Task 1	Task 2
Task Achievement/ Task Response	5	6
Coherence and Cohesion	6	6
Lexical Resource	7	7
Grammatical Range Accuracy	7	7
Overall	6	6.5

Summarise the information by selecting and reporting the main features, make comparisons where relevant. Write at least 150 words.

Shoe Sales of two types in certain European countries in 2018.



The bar chart shows the sale of leather shoes in two different colours, brown and black, in various European nations in 2018.

Commented [DU1]: عبارت های بهتری هستند. Demonstrate/ illustrate

Overall, the sale of black leather shoes was the highest in Hungary, whereas that of the brown leather shoes was the highest in Poland. The country where brown leather shoes were the least popular was Belgium.

Commented [DU2]: حرف ربط در اینجا به درستی آمده که تضاد رو نشون بده و فقط قیلس نیاز به ویرگول هست
Deleted: ,

Black leather shoes were preferred mostly by Hungarians where well above 125 shoes were sold, while French people bought the least at just above 25. Equal number of black shoes was sold in Germany and Ireland at about 75.

Commented [DU3]: نقطه زمانی استفاده میشه که بحث تمام شده باشه و مطلب جدیدی اضافه کنیم. پس برای ربط دادن دو مطلب مرتبط با هم از and استفاده می کنیم. شکل درستش میشه 25 and equal number

Brown leather shoes were mostly favoured by Poles at approximately 130. Interestingly, Belgians bought less than 5 brown shoes, while all other countries experienced a sale of more than 50. The difference in preference in the two different shoes was seen the least in Hungary where the gap was less than 10, and this gap was the widest in Belgium at about 100.

Commented [DU4]: Shoes جمع هست و باید از فعل به شکل جمع استفاده کرد. Were

Commented [DU5]: Less than شکل درست صفت مقایسه ای هست.

Commented [DU7]: Sales
Deleted: at

Task 2

Food travels thousands of miles from producers to consumers. Some people think that it would be better for the environment and economy if people only ate the local food produced by farmers. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

Experts throughout both the developing and developed world have debated the effect of local and international food on economy and environment. Some believe the benefits of international food outweigh the drawbacks, however, I strongly disagree. This essay will argue that consuming local food is advantageous using examples to demonstrate points and prove arguments.

Commented [DU9]: the

Commented [DU10]: however, برای بیان تضاد کاربرد داره و وقتی که در وسط جمله ای بیاد قیل و بعدش ویرگول نیاز داره.

Deleted: personally

On the one hand there is ample evidence that food industry is a lucrative business. The central reason behind this is twofold, firstly people enjoy having a variety of options to choose from. Secondly, people do not have to travel long distances to try a new food. For example, recent empirical research by the UK Government demonstrated that 90% of restaurants in London serve food from different countries. It must not be forgotten that although transporting food pollutes the environment and puts local farmers out of work, a majority of people enjoy eating and paying for it. Therefore it is clear that food produced internationally has its benefits.

On the other hand although there is a case for international food being enjoyed by people worldwide, the impact of it on economy and environment cannot be overstated. This is largely because local farmers become bankrupt and food transportation creates pollution. For example, an empirical study proved that when a person does not buy local produce, the local farmers cannot thrive and fossil fuel will be used to transport the food. Consistent with this line of thinking is that local economy can prosper and the country will become self-sufficient if people bought only local food and pollution will also decrease as a result of fewer vehicles on roads. Thus it is possible to state beyond doubt that consumption of local food has economical and environmental advantages.

From the arguments and examples given I firmly believe that people should be encouraged to eat only local food. It is predicted that consumption of local food will increasingly grow in importance.

Commented [DU11]: بعد از hand نیاز به ویرگول هست.

Commented [DU12]: the food

Commented [DU13]: ;

Commented [DU14]: در همین شرایطی که موردی گفته میشه جمله رو رها نکنید و با مثال یا توضیح بیشتر منظور تون رو شفاف سازی کنید.

Commented [DU15]: New food

Commented [DU16]: Therefore is برای بیان نتیجه میاد، و conclusively فقط تکرار هست

Deleted: conclusively

Commented [DU17]: Its نشان دهنده مالکیت هست و own فقط تکرار هست

Deleted: own

Formatted: English (United States)

Commented [DU18]: On the other hand,

Commented [DU19]: the economy

Commented [DU20]: به جای largely بهتر است که از mainly استفاده کنی.

Commented [DU21]: the local economy

Commented [DU22]: the consumption

Commented [DU23]: ,

Commented [DU24]: become dominant.

Examiner's comments:

Task1

مشکل اساسی این تسک اینجاست که جزئیات مطرح نشده. در پاراگراف سوم فقط ۴ کشور نام برده شدند و همینطور در پاراگراف آخر. باید به شکل اصولی همه کشورها ذکر بشن. منظور این نیست که ۱۸ مورد از نه کشور تک به تک مطرح بشن. ولی بیشترین و کمترین و همینطور متوسطها باید مشخص بشن. همیشه برای مثال متوسطها رو در یک جا بیان کرد. برای کسب نمره بالارعبایت این نکته مهم هست.

Task 2

1st paragraph

نکته ای که باید مد نظر قرار داد اینه که درپاراگراف اول که introduction هست باید معرفی موضوع خواسته شده باشد. در این بخش سود و زیان مطرح شده است که پاسخگوی سوالی که گفته اگر مردم "فقط" غذای محلی بخورند ... نیست.

2nd paragraph

مشکل اولی که در پاراگراف دوم وجود داره این هست که در Topic Sentence بیان نکردی که منظور از صنعت غذایی صنعت بین المللی غذایی هست که همیشه international food industry و این با خط آخر همین پاراگراف که به عنوان concluding sentence گفتی that food produced internationally همخوانی ندارد. به عبارتی اگر قصد کلی گویی داشتی با خط آخر که گفتی صنعت بین المللی که مشخصا چیز دیگه ای هست مطابقت ندارد.

مشکل دوم این هست که به سوال مطرح شده پاسخ مناسبی داده نشده که استفاده از غذای محلی برای محیط زیست و اقتصاد بهتر هست.

این مشکل برای این به وجود میاد که اکثرا فقط خط اول سوالها را می خوانند و توجه جدی به این ندارند که سوال از آن ها چی خواسته.

3rd paragraph

خوب این پاراگراف به نسبت قبلی بهتر بود ولی می تونی اینطور هم به سوال نگاه کنی که چرا استفاده محض از تولیدات بومی خوب نیست. مثلا اینکه همه جا امکان کشت محصولات مورد نیاز نیست یا زمین های حاصلخیز کمه و این رو کمی بسط بدی به عنوان اینکه غذای محلی قاعدتا ممکن هست که پاسخگوی نیاز مردم نباشه.

Final Comment

در نهایت میشه متن رو به دو بخش تقسیم کرد که در یک پاراگراف توضیح بدی که چرا استفاده محض از نظر اقتصادی و زیست محیطی خوبه. در پاراگراف بعدی از مشکلاتی که تکیه محض به تولید بومی ایجاد می کنه بگی و نشون بدی که نظر نسبی داری. اینطوری هم نقاط مثبت کار رو در نظر گرفتی و هم نقاط منفی کار.